## THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA IN THE HIGH COURT OF UGANDA HOLDEN AT GULU MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. 002 OF 2023 (ARISING FROM CIVIL SUIT NO. 001 OF 2023)

SECURITY GROUP LIMITED ....... APPLICANT/DEFENDANT

VERSUS

GULU AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT CO. LIMITED ...... PLAINTIFF

AND

UAP OLD MUTUAL INSURANCE

15 UGANDA LIMITED ...... RESPONDENT/THIRD PARTY

BEFORE: HON. MR. JUSTICE GEORGE OKELLO

20

25

30

## RULING

This is an application for Third Party Notice to issue to UAP Old Mutual Insurance (U) Ltd. The Application is brought by Security Group Ltd. It arises from Civil Suit No. 1/2023. That suit was lodged against the Security Group Ltd by Gulu Agricultural Development Co. Ltd. Therein, the Plaintiff sued the Security Group Ltd, seeking to recover sums of money for alleged breach of Contract. In the present application for Third Party Notice to issue under O.1 r.14 of Civil Procedure Rules (CPR), the Security Group avers that, it was insured against all risks under a Policy issued by the intended Third Party – UAP Old Mutual Insurance (U) Ltd; thus it seeks to have the Insurance Company on board, so that incase of any liability being found against the defendant Security Group, the third

- party may make full indemnity. Although the learned Ms. Piloya holding brief for Mr. Stanley Kangye of K & K Advocates claims submission were lodged in this court, there is none on record, and no proof has been furnished by learned Counsel, yet the matter is for hearing.
- Be that as it may, in an application of this nature, Court must be satisfied 10 that there is a proper question to be tried as to liability of the third party. See: Barclays Bank Vs. Tom [1923] IKB, at P.224, per Scrutton L.J. The purpose of Third Party Notice under O.1 r.14 of the Civil Procedure Rules (CPR) is to get the third party bound by the decisions given between the Plaintiff and the defendant; to save the extra expense which would be 15 involved by two separate independent actions. - see Barclays Bank Case (supra). In the case of Sango Bay Estate Ltd & Others Vs. Dresdner Bank Ag. (No.2) (1971) 1EA 307 (CAK), it was held that the CPR limits third party procedures to cases where a Defendant claims to be entitled to remedy of indemnity. Thus, one of the objects of third party procedure is 20 to prevent multiple actions. Thus Lord Esher, M.R observed in Baxter Vs. France (No. 2) [1895] I Q.B 591, at page 593 on the scope of the third party procedure in these terms.

"the general scope of the third part procedure is to deal with cases where by applying it, all the disputes arising out of a transaction as between the Plaintiff and the defendant, and between the defendant and a third party, can be tried and settled in the same action".

25

30

In the present matter, having perused the Chamber Summons and the supporting affidavit, I note that there is an insurance Policy executed by the intended Third Party, and gross premium was paid by the Applicant Security Group Ltd. The Policy is dubbed "Guarding all risk Policy".

Without delving into the finer details of the Policy between the Applicant and the Insurance Company, I am satisfied that the Applicant has proved that there is a question of indemnity to be decided as between the Applicant and the Insurance Company in the head suit. Accordingly, the Application is allowed with the Applicant bearing its own costs. Third Party Notice accordingly issues against UAP Old Mutual Insurance Uganda Limited and the Applicant shall comply with the service thereof, in accordance with the CPR. The Third Party shall upon service, lodge its Defence, in accordance with the provisions on filling Written Statement of Defence (WSD) as prescribed in O.8, and O.9 of the Civil Procedure Rules (CPR). It is so ordered.

Delivered and dated at Gulu this 09th day of April, 2024.

20

George Okello
JUDGE

Ruling delivered in Open Court

## 25 **09th April, 2024**

## In the presence of

Ms. Piloya Dora Lillian, holding brief for Mr. Stanley Kangye for the Applicant.

Mr. Stephen Ochan, Court Clerk.

30

George Okello